arXiv:1105.0221v1 [math.DG] 1 May 2011

ON THE ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION OF TIAN-YAU-ZELDITCH

CHIUNG-JU LIU AND ZHIQIN LU

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Setting of the problem 4
3. Peak sections 6
4. On a lemma of Ruan 11
5. Proof of the theorems 15
Appendix A. K-coordinates and K-frames 17
References 23

1. Introduction

Let M be an n-dimensional projective algebraic manifold in certain projective space $\mathbb{CP}^N$. The hyperplane line bundle of $\mathbb{CP}^N$ restricts to an ample line bundle L on M, which is called a polarization of M. A Kähler metric g is called a polarized metric, if the corresponding Kähler form represents the first Chern class $c_1(L)$ of L in $H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$. Given any polarized Kähler metric g, there is a Hermitian metric h on L whose Ricci form is equal to ω_g. For each positive integer $m>0$, the Hermitian metric h_L induces the Hermitian metric h_L^m on L^m.

With respect to these metrics, the Bergman kernel is defined as a sequence of $Hom(E,E)$-valued functions. These functions play one of the central roles in Kähler-Einstein geometry. In [16], Tian proved an C^2-convergence theorem of the Bergman kernel, from which he proved that the Bergman metrics are convergent to the original Kähler metric. A far-reaching generalization of Tian's theorem was obtained by Catlin [4] and Zelditch [17]:

Theorem 1.1 (Catlin, Zelditch). *Let M be a compact complex manifold of dimension n (over $\mathbb{C}$) and let $(L,h)\to M$ be a positive Hermitian holomorphic line bundle and (E,h_E) a Hermitian vector bundle of rank r. Let g be*

Date: March 15, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 53A30; Secondary: 32C16.

Key words and phrases. Szegö kernel, asymptotic expansion, ample line bundle.

The first author is supported by the NSC grant NSC 982115M002007 in Taiwan. The second author is partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-09-04653.

the Kähler metric on M corresponding to the Kähler form $\omega_g = Ric(h_L)$. Let $H^0(M, L^m \otimes E)$ be the space of all holomorphic global sections of $L^m \otimes E$. Let x be a point of M. Let g be the Kähler metric on M corresponding to the Kähler form $\omega_g = Ric(h)$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, h induces a Hermitian metric h_m on L^m. Then there is an asymptotic expansion:

$$\mathfrak{B} \sim a_0(x)m^n + a_1(x)m^{n-1} + a_2(x)m^{n-2} + \cdots \tag{1.1}$$

for certain smooth coefficients $a_j(x) \in Hom(E, E)$ with $a_0 = I$. More precisely, for any k

$$||\mathfrak{B}(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_j(x)m^{n-k}||_{C^\mu} \leq C_{N,\mu} m^{n-N-1}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $C_{N,\mu}$ depends on N, μ and the manifold M and the bundles L, E.

In [11], Lu proved that each coefficient $a_j(x)$ is a polynomial of the curvature and its covariant derivatives. In particular, $a_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}\rho(x)$ is half of the scalar curvature of the Kähler manifold. All polynomials $a_j(x)$ can be represented by a polynomial of the curvature and its derivatives. Moreover, Lu and Tian [12, Theorem 3.1] proved that the leading term of a_j is $C\triangle^{j-1}\rho$, where ρ is the scalar curvature and $C = C(j, n)$ is a constant. In the symplectic case, the recurrence relations among the coefficients were obtained by [5], [13] (See also the book [14]).

Donaldson [7] was the first one using the expansion of the Bergman kernel to the stability of manifolds. Because of the great influence of Donaldson's work, now there are several different proofs of the Tian-Yau-Zelditch expansion. In [1], Berman, Berndtsson and Sjöstrand gave a direct approach, avoiding using the paramatrix of Bergman kernel. In [5], Dai, Liu and Ma gave a heat kernel approach of the expansion. Both of the papers provide the algorithms for the computation of the general coefficients. From his recent work [8], Donaldson showed the importance of a local version of the expansion. That is, the expansion exists on a neighborhood of the manifold with bounded geometry.

In the 2009 Conference in Complex Geometry in the Northwestern University, Donaldson asked whether one can give a purely complex geometric proof of the Tian-Yau-Zelditch expansion. We think that this is a very important question because

1. We want to give an explicit algorithm of the coefficients and an effective error estimates. Here by effectiveness we mean to use explicit geometric quantity to bound the constant $C_{N,\mu}$ in Theorem 1.1, which is important in Kähler-Einstein geometry.
2. When the metrics are real analytic (as in the case of cscK metric), we may be able to sharpen the estimates in (1.2).
3. We are interested in the orbifold case, and would like to unify the treatment of manifold and orbifold cases.

The purpose of this paper is to give a new proof of the Tian-Yau-Zelditch expansion, using the complex geometric method. We show that in addition to the existence result, our method provide more information of the geometry of the expansion.

To state our result, we need to use the concepts of K-coordinates and K-frames, which are discussed in details in the appendix. Let x_0 be a fixed point of M and let $(z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ be a K-coordinate system at x_0 or order p. Let $a, (h_{i\bar{j}})$ be the local representations of the Hermitian metrics of L and E, respectively, under the K-frames.

The proof of the theorem, in the case $\mu = 0$, is a refinement and simplification of the paper [11].

Theorem 1.2. *Let $S_1, \cdots, S_k$ be peak sections $k = [\varepsilon \log m]$. Let $\mathfrak{B}^k_{peak}$ be the Bergman kernel with respect to the peak sections $S_1, \cdots, S_k$. Then*

1. *$\mathfrak{B}^k_{peak}$ has an C^∞ asymptotic expansion;*
2. *The expansion stables to the TYZ expansion:*

$$||\mathfrak{B}(x) - \mathfrak{B}^k_{peak}||_{C^\mu} \leq \frac{C}{m^{\varepsilon_0 \log k}}.$$

The second main result of this paper focuses on compact complex manifolds with analytic Kähler metrics. It is well-known that the Tian-Yau-Zelditch expansion does not converge in general. Even if it is convergent, it may not converge to the Bergman kernel. We prove that in the case when the metric is analytic, the optimal result may achieve: The asymptotic expansion is convergent and the limit approaching the Bergman kernel faster than any other polynomials.

Theorem 1.3. *With the notations as in the above theorem, suppose that the Hermitian metrics h_L and h_E are real analytic at a fixed point x. Then the expansion*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j m^{-j}$$

is convergent for m large. Moreover, the expansion is convergent in the sense

$$\|\mathfrak{B}(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k m^{n-k}\|_{C^\mu} \leq C m^{-\varepsilon (\log m)^{1/n}}.$$

To some extend, other then using the $\overline{\partial}$-L^2 estimate, our method is elementary. As one of the by-pass result, we studied the variation of K-coordinates extensively in the appendix. We hope that the method is useful in other cases.

Acknowledgement. The second author thanks Xiaonan Ma for his careful explanation of his work to him. He also thanks Kengo Hirachi for his interest in our work and the discussions on the topic for many years. Part of the results of this paper was announced in ArXiv 0909.4591.

2. Setting of the problem

Let (E, h_E) be a Hermitian vector bundle of rank r with a Hermitian metric h_E. Consider the space $\Gamma(M, L^m \otimes E)$ of all holomorphic sections for large m. For $U, V \in \Gamma(M, E)$, the pointwise and the L^2 inner products are defined as

$$\langle U(x), V(x)\rangle_{h_E}$$

and

$$(U, V) = \int_M \langle U(x), V(x)\rangle_{h_E} dV_g, \tag{2.3}$$

respectively, where $dV_g = \frac{\omega_g^n}{n!}$ is the volume form of g.

Assume that $T_1, \cdots, T_d$ is an orthonormal basis of $H^0(M, E)$. Let $e_1, \cdots, e_r$ be a local frame. Let

$$T_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{r} a_{\alpha j} e_j$$

for $1 \leq \alpha \leq d$. Then $a_{\alpha j}$ are local functions. The Bergman kernel, which is the matrix-valued function $\mathfrak{B}(x, y) = (\mathfrak{B}_{ij}(x, y))$, is defined by

$$\mathfrak{B}_{ij}(x, y) = \sum_{k,\alpha} h_{i\bar{k}}(y)\overline{a_{\alpha k}}(y) a_{\alpha j}(x).$$

The orthogonal projection, $L^2(\Omega) \to H^2(\Omega)$, is given by

$$(a_1, \cdots, a_r) \mapsto \left(\int_M \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i(y)\mathfrak{B}_{i1}(x, y) dV_y, \cdots, \int_M \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i(y)\mathfrak{B}_{ir}(x, y) dV_y\right).$$

In this paper, we study $\mathfrak{B}(x) = \mathfrak{B}(x, x)$, which we also call it the Bergman kernel.

Let $A = (a_{\alpha j})$ and let $H = (h_{i\bar{j}})$ be the Hermitian metric matrix with respect to the local frame $e_1, \cdots, e_r$. Then in matrix notations, we have

$$\mathfrak{B}(x) = B(x, x) = HA^*A,$$

where A^* is the complex conjugate of the matrix A.

The above matrix-valued function $\mathfrak{B}(x)$ is independent to the choice of orthonormal basis. In fact, it is also possible to express it using arbitrary basis of $H^0(M, E)$.

Let $S_1, \cdots, S_d$ be any basis of $H^0(M, E)$. Let

$$F = (F_{ij}) = (S_i, S_j). \tag{2.4}$$

Let P be the matrix such that $PFP^* = I$. If we write

$$S_i = \sum_{j=1}^{d} b_{ij} e_j,$$

then the Bergman kernel can be represented by

$$\mathfrak{B} = H(PB)^*PB = HB^*F^{-1}B,$$

where $B = (b_{ij})$ is a $d \times r$ matrix.

Let L be an ample line bundle over M, E is a vector bundle over M, and m is a large positive integer. We denote $\mathfrak{B}_m(x)$ to be the Bergman kernel of the vector bundle $L^m \otimes E$.

Definition 2.1. *We say a sequence of functions $f_m(x)$ has a C^μ asymptotic expansion, if there exist matrix-valued functions $a_0(x), \cdots, a_s(x), \cdots$ such that for any s, μ,*

$$\left\| f_m(x) - m^n \left(a_0(x) + \frac{a_1(x)}{m} + \cdots + \frac{a_s(x)}{m^s} \right) \right\|_{C^\mu} \leq \frac{C}{m^{s+1}},$$

where C is a constant independent to m.

We say a sequence of functions $f_m(x)$ has a C^μ asymptotic expansion at the point x_0, if there exist matrix-valued functions $a_0(x), \cdots, a_s(x), \cdots$ in a neighborhood of x_0 such that for any s, μ, $s + \mu < p$, where p is the order of the K-coordinates, we have

$$\left| D^\mu \left(f_m(x) - m^n \left(a_0(x) + \frac{a_1(x)}{m} + \cdots + \frac{a_s(x)}{m^s} \right) \right) \right| (x_0) \leq \frac{C}{m^{s+1}}, \quad (2.5)$$

where C is a constant independent to m and x_0. In particular, we say $f_m(x)$ has a C^0 asymptotic expansion at the point x_0, if there exists matrices $a_0, \cdots, a_s, \cdots$ such that

$$\left| f_m(x) - m^n \left(a_0 + \frac{a_1}{m} + \cdots + \frac{a_s}{m^s} \right) \right| (x_0) \leq \frac{C}{m^{s+1}}, \quad (2.6)$$

where C is indepedent to m.

If a sequence of functions has a C^μ asymptotic expansion, then it has the C^μ asymptotic expansion at any point x_0.

Lemma 2.1. *A sequence of functions f_m has a C^μ asymptotic expansion if and only if for every $\mu \geq 0$ and at each point $x_0 \in M$, f_m has a C^μ asymptotic expansion at x_0.*

Proof. The lemma follows from Corollary A.1.

□

Let $P = (p_1, \cdots, p_n)$ be a multiple index and let $1 \leq j \leq r$. Define the lexicographical order on the set of (P, j)'s. That is, $(P, j) < (Q, k)$ if

(1) $\sum p_i < \sum q_i$, or
(2) $p_1 = q_1, \cdots, p_\ell = q_\ell$ but $p_{\ell+1} < q_{\ell+1}$ for some $0 \leq \ell \leq n-1$, or
(3) $j < k$.

Such an order gives rise to the function $P = P(j)$. For example, $P(1) = ((0, \cdots, 0), 1)$, $P(2r+2) = ((0, 1, \cdots, 0), 2)$, etc.

Definition 2.2. *Let $S_1, \cdots, S_k, S_{k+1}, \cdots, S_d$ be a basis of $H^0(M, L^m \otimes E)$. We say that it is a regular basis at x_0 of order μ, if $k > \mu$, and under the local K-coordinates at x_0*

(1) *for $1 \leq j \leq k$, $S_j(z) = z^{P(j)} + o(|z|^\mu)$;*

(2) *for* $j > k$, $S_j(z) = o(|z|^\mu)$.

Moreover, the (i,j)*-th entry of* F^{-1} *has an asymptotic expansion at* x_0 *of order* 0.

Lemma 2.2. *If a regular basis exists, then the Catlin-Zelditch's result is valid.*

Proof. The Taylor expansion for the smooth vector-valued function H gives the asymptotic expansion. Thus in order to prove the result, we only need to prove the existence of the C^μ expansion of $B^*F^{-1}B$. It is not hard to see that if

$$\frac{\partial^{|P|+|Q|}\mathfrak{B}}{\partial z^P \partial \overline{z}^Q}$$

has the C^0 asymptotic expansion at x_0 for all $|P| + |Q| \le \mu$. □

3. Peak sections

In order to prove the main theorems of this paper, we need a suitable choice of the basis $\{S_1, \cdots, S_d\}$. We use Tian's peak section method introduced in [16] (see also [11]).

We cite the following result, which is well known. For the line bundle version of the result, see [6], or [16]. We omit the proof.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that* (M, g) *is an* n*-dimensional compact Kähler manifold,* (L, h_L) *is a Hermitian line bundle over* M*, and* (E, h_E) *is a Hermitian vector bundle over* M*. We assume that* $\mathrm{Ric}\,(h_L) = \omega_g$ *defines the Kähler metric of* M*. Let* Θ_E *be the curvature of* E *with respect to* h_E *and* I_E *be the identity map of* E*. Let* Ψ *be a function on* M *which can be approximated by a decreasing sequence of smooth function* $\{\Psi_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^\infty$*. Assume that the endomorphisms*

$$\Theta_\ell = \Theta_E + I_E \otimes \partial\overline{\partial}\Psi_\ell + \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{-1}} I_E \otimes (Ric(h) + Ric(g))$$

on $\bigwedge^{0,1}(M, L \otimes E)$ *satisfy*

$$\langle \Theta_\ell(\varphi), \varphi \rangle_{g^* \otimes h_L \otimes h_E} \ge C \|\varphi\|^2_{g^* \otimes h_L \otimes h_E} \tag{3.1}$$

for $\varphi \in \bigwedge^{0,1}(M, L \otimes E)$*, where* $C > 0$ *is a constant independent of* ℓ*. Then for any* $w \in \Lambda^{0,1}(M, L \otimes E)$ *with* $\overline{\partial} w = 0$ *and*

$$\int_M \|w\|^2_{g^* \otimes h_L \otimes h_E} e^{-\Psi} dV_g < \infty,$$

there exists $u \in \Gamma(M, L \otimes E)$ *such that* $\overline{\partial} u = w$ *and*

$$\int_M \|u\|^2_{h_L \otimes h_E} e^{-\Psi} dV_g \le \frac{1}{C} \int_M \|w\|^2_{g^* \otimes h_L \otimes h_E} e^{-\Psi} dV_g. \tag{3.2}$$

□

Remark 3.1. The choice of u is, of course, not unique. In order to construct the peak sections, we need to fix the solution of $\overline{\partial} u = w$. Let Δ be the Laplacian on bundle $\Lambda^{0,1}(L \otimes E)$ with respect to the corresponding metrics and the weight function Ψ. By (3.1) and the Weitzenböck formula, the smallest eigenvalue λ_1 of Δ satisfies $\lambda_1 \geq C$. It follows that the Green's operator G of Δ exists and is a bounded operator.

We define

$$u = \overline{\partial}^* G w.$$

Then we have

$$\overline{\partial} u = \overline{\partial}\overline{\partial}^* G w = G(\overline{\partial}\overline{\partial}^* + \overline{\partial}^* \overline{\partial}) w = w,$$

because w is $\overline{\partial}$-closed and because $\overline{\partial}\overline{\partial}^*$ commutes with G. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \|u\|^2_{h_L \otimes h_E} e^{-\Psi} dV_g &= \int_M \langle u, \overline{\partial}^* G w \rangle_{h_L \otimes h_E} e^{-\Psi} dV_g \\ = \int_M \langle w, G w \rangle_{g^* \otimes h_L \otimes h_E} e^{-\Psi} dV_g &\leq \frac{1}{C} \int_M \|w\|^2_{g^* \otimes h_L \otimes h_E} e^{-\Psi} dV_g. \end{aligned}$$

The section u defined here satisfies (3.2). For the rest of the paper, we will fix this unique solution u.

Throughout of the rest of this section, we use C to denote a positive constant, which may be different line by line, depending only on n, r, the injectivity radius δ of M, and the three numbers p', K_1, K_2 defined below.

Suppose that the local coordinate $(z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ is defined on an open neighborhood U of x_0 in M. Define the function $|z|$ by

$$|z| = \sqrt{|z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2}$$

for $z \in U$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}^n_+$ be the set of n-tuple of integers $(p_1, \cdots, p_n)$ such that $p_i \geq 0$ for $(i = 1, \cdots, n)$. Let $P = (p_1, \cdots, p_n)$. Define

$$z^P = z_1^{p_1} \cdots z_n^{p_n} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$p = p_1 + \cdots + p_n.$$

The following lemma is a revised version of Tian's Lemma [16, Lemma 1.2].

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that there are constants $K_1, K_2 > 0$ such that K_1 is the upper bound of the curvature operator of g, and K_2 is the upper bound of the curvature operator of h_E. In particular, we have*

$$< \Theta_E, \varphi \otimes \overline{\varphi} >_{g^* \otimes h_l^m \otimes h_E} \leq K_2 ||\varphi||^2_{g^* \otimes h_L^m \otimes h_E},$$

where $\Theta_E = (\Theta_E)_{k\bar{\ell}\alpha\bar{\beta}} e_k^* \wedge \overline{e_\ell^*} \otimes dz_\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}_\beta$ is the curvature tensor of E; $\varphi \in \Lambda^{0,1}(E)$. For $P = (p_1, \cdots, p_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ and an integer $p' \geq p = p_1 + \cdots + p_n$, let

$$p' \leq \varepsilon (\log m)^2 \tag{3.4}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ depends on n, r, K_1, K_2 and δ. Let x be a fixed point and let U be a coordinate neighborhood of x. Then there is a holomorphic global section $S^{p'}_{P,j,m}$ of $H^0(M, L^m \otimes E)$ satisfying

$$\left| \int_M \|S^{p'}_{P,j,m}\|^2_{h_L^m \otimes h_E} dV_g - 1 \right| \leq Ce^{-\frac{1}{4}(\log m)^2}. \tag{3.5}$$

Moreover, $S^{p'}_{P,j,m}$ can be decomposed as

$$S^{p'}_{P,j,m} = \widetilde{S}_{P,j,m} - u_{P,j,m}$$

for $\widetilde{S}_{P,j,m}, u_{P,j,m} \in C^\infty(L^m \otimes E)$. $\widetilde{S}_{P,j,m}$ is defined as a smooth section whose support is contained in U. Let $(z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ be the K-coordinates centered at x and let $e_L, \{e_j\}$ be the K-frames. Let η be a smoothly cut-off function

$$\eta(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } t < \frac{1}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{for } t \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

satisfying $0 \leq -\eta'(t) \leq 4$ and $|\eta''(t)| \leq 8$. Then we can write $\widetilde{S}_{P,j,m}$ as

$$\widetilde{S}_{P,j,m}(z) = \lambda_{P,j}\eta\left(\frac{m|z|^2}{(\log m)^2}\right) z^P e^m_L \otimes e_j, \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\lambda^{-2}_{P,j} = \int_{|z| \leq \frac{\log m}{\sqrt{m}}} |z^P|^2 a^m h_{j\bar{j}} dV_g. \tag{3.7}$$

$u_{P,j,m}$ is defined as in Remark 3.1 so that

$$u_{P,j,m}(z) = O(|z|^{2p'}) \qquad \text{if } z \in U, \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\int_M \|u_{P,j,m}\|^2_{h_L^m \otimes h_E} dV_g \leq C\,\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4}(\log m)^2}}{m^{n+|P|}}. \tag{3.9}$$

Definition 3.1. *The sections $\{S^{p'}_{P,j,m}\}$ are called peak sections.*

Proof. Define the weight function

$$\Psi(z) = (n + 2p')\eta\left(\frac{m|z|^2}{(\log m)^2}\right) \log\left(\frac{m|z|^2}{(\log m)^2}\right).$$

A straightforward computation gives

$$\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\Psi \geq -\frac{100m(n + 2p')}{(\log m)^2}\omega_g \tag{3.10}$$

for m satisfying (3.4). Let

$$\Theta = \Theta_E + I_E \otimes \partial\overline{\partial}\Psi + \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{-1}} I_E \otimes (Ric(h) + Ric(g)). \tag{3.11}$$

By using (3.10), we can verify that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Theta(\varphi),\varphi\rangle &\geq (-K_2-\frac{100m(n+2p')}{(\log m)^2}+m-K_1)||\varphi||^2_{g^*\otimes h_L^m\otimes h_E}\\ &\geq \frac{1}{4}m\|\varphi\|^2_{g^*\otimes h_L^m\otimes h_E}\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

for $\varphi\in\Lambda^{0,1}(M,L^m\otimes E)$. For $P\in\mathbb{Z}^n_+$, let $w_j^P\in\Lambda^{0,1}(M,L^m\otimes E)$ such that

$$w_j^P=\overline{\partial}\eta\left(\frac{m|z|^2}{(\log m)^2}\right)z^Pe_L^m\otimes e_j.\tag{3.13}$$

Since $\overline{\partial}w_j^P\equiv 0$ and

$$\int_M\|w_j^P\|^2_{g^*\otimes h_L^m\otimes h_E}e^{-\Psi}dV_g<+\infty,$$

Using Proposition 3.1 and Remark 3.1, we choose $u_j^P=\overline{\partial}^*Gw_j^P\in\Gamma(M,L^m\otimes E)$. It satisfies $\overline{\partial}u_j^P=w_j^P$ and

$$\int_M\|u_j^P\|^2_{h_L^m\otimes h_E}e^{-\Psi}dV_g\leq\frac{4}{m}\int_M\|w_j^P\|^2_{g^*\otimes h_L^m\otimes h_E}e^{-\Psi}dV_g<\infty,\tag{3.14}$$

where G is the Green's operator of the Laplacian on $\Lambda^{0,1}(L^m\otimes E)$ with respect to the metrics and the weight function Ψ. Let $u_{P,j,m}=\lambda_{P,j}u_j^P$. Due to

$$\int_M||U_{P,j,m}||^2e^{-\Psi}dV_h<+\infty,$$

we must have $u_{P,j,m}(x)=O(|z|^{2p'})$. This proves (3.8). In order to verify (3.9), we need to estimate the right hand side of (3.14). By the definition of function η, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{4}{m}\int_M||w_j^P||^2_{g^*\otimes h_L^m\otimes h_E}e^{-\Psi}dV_g\\ &\leq\frac{64}{m}\int_{\frac{\log m}{\sqrt{2m}}\leq|z|\leq\frac{\log m}{\sqrt{m}}}\frac{m^2}{(\log m)^4}z_k\overline{z}_\ell g^{\ell\overline{k}}|z^P|^2a^mh_{j\overline{j}}e^{-\Psi}dV_g\\ &\leq C\frac{(\log m)^{2(|P|-1)}}{m^{|P|}}\int_{\frac{\log m}{\sqrt{2m}}\leq|z|\leq\frac{\log m}{\sqrt{m}}}a^mdV_0.\end{aligned}$$

Since e_L is a K-frame, we have

$$a=e^{\log a}=e^{-|z|^2+O(|z|^4)}$$

on U. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M\|u_j^P\|^2_{h_L^m\otimes h_E}e^{-\Psi}dV_g&\leq\frac{4}{m}\int_M||w_j^P||^2_{g^*\otimes h_L^m\otimes h_E}e^{-\Psi}dV_g\\ &\leq C\frac{(\log m)^{2(|P|-1+n)}}{m^{|P|+n}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\log m)^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.15}$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 3.2 below, we have

$$\lambda_{P,j}^{-2} \geq C\frac{P!}{m^{n+|P|}}$$

and therefore

$$\lambda_{P,j} \leq Cm^{\frac{n+|P|}{2}}. \tag{3.16}$$

Putting (3.16) and (3.15) together, we obtain

$$\int_M \|u_{P,j,m}\|^2_{h_L^m\otimes h_E} dV_g \leq Ce^{-\frac{1}{4}(\log m)^2}.$$

This proves (3.9). Finally, using the same method as above, we have

$$\left|\int_M \|\tilde{S}_{P,j,m}\|^2_{h_L^m\otimes h_E} dV_g - 1\right| \leq C\lambda_{P,j}^2 \frac{(\log m)^{2(|P|-1)}}{m^{|P|}} \int_{\frac{\log m}{\sqrt{2m}}\leq|z|\leq\frac{\log m}{\sqrt{m}}} a^m dV_g.$$

By using the same method as before, we get (3.5).

□

Lemma 3.2. *Let*

$$a = \left(\frac{\log m}{n}\right)^2.$$

Let $1 > \varepsilon_1 > 0$. Then there is an $\varepsilon_2 > 0$, depending only on ε_1 and n, such that for $|P| \leq \varepsilon_1 a$, we have

$$\frac{P!}{m^{n+|P|}}(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2(\log m)^2}) \leq \int_{|z|\leq\frac{\log m}{\sqrt{m}}} |z^P|^2 e^{-m|z|^2} dV_0 \leq \frac{P!}{m^{n+|P|}}. \tag{3.17}$$

Proof. In here and in what follows, we will quote the following elementary identity:

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |z^P|^2|z|^{2q} e^{-m|z|^2} dV_0 = \frac{(|P|+n-1+q)!P!}{(|P|+n-1)!m^{n+|P|+q}}, \tag{3.18}$$

where $q \geq 0$ and P is a multi-index.

Using the above identity, (3.17) follows from

$$\int_{|z|\geq\frac{\log m}{\sqrt{m}}} |z^P|^2 e^{-m|z|^2} dV_0 \leq \frac{P!}{m^{n+|P|}} e^{-\varepsilon_2(\log m)^2}.$$

Rescaling z to $\sqrt{m}z$, inequality (3.17) becomes

$$\int_{|z|\geq\log m} |z^P|^2 e^{-|z|^2} dV_0 \leq P! e^{-\varepsilon_2(\log m)^2}. \tag{3.19}$$

We observe that

$$\int_{|z|\geq\log m} |z^P|^2 e^{-|z|^2} dV_0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{|z_i|\geq\frac{\log m}{n}} |z^P|^2 e^{-|z|^2} dV_0$$

A straightforward computation gives

$$\int_{|z|\geq \log m} |z^P|^2 e^{-|z|^2} dV_0 \leq P! \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i!} \int_a^\infty r^{p_i} e^{-r} dr$$

$$\leq n\, P! e^{-a} \sum_{\ell=0}^q \frac{a^\ell}{\ell!} \leq n P! e^{-a} (q+1) \frac{a^q}{q!},$$

where $q = [\varepsilon_1 a]$ is the integer part of $\varepsilon_1 a$.

Using the Stirling formula $q! > q^q/e^q$, we have

$$\int_{|z|\geq \log m} |z^P|^2 e^{-|z|^2} dV_0 \leq n(q+1)\, P! e^{q(\log \frac{a}{q} - \frac{a}{q} + 1)}.$$

Since $\log x - x + 1$ is a decreasing function for $x \geq 1$, we have

$$\log \frac{a}{q} - \frac{a}{q} + 1 \leq \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} + 1 < 0.$$

Thus

$$\int_{|z|\geq \log m} |z^P|^2 e^{-|z|^2} dV_0 \leq n(q+1) P! e^{-(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} - 1 - \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1}) q}$$

and the lemma follows from the above inequality.

□

4. On a lemma of Ruan

We quote Ruan's Lemma [15, Lemma 3.2] as follows:

Lemma 4.1 (Ruan)**.** *Let $S_P = S_{p,m}^{p'}$ be a section constructed in Lemma 3.1. Define*

$$\|S\| = \sqrt{\int_M |S|^2_{h_L^m \otimes g^*} dV_g}$$

for $S \in H^0(M, L^m)$. Let T be another section of L^m. Near x_0, $T = f e_L^m$ for a holomorphic function f. When we say T's Taylor expansion at x_0, we mean the Taylor expansion of f at x_0 under the coordinate system $(z_1, \cdots, z_n)$.

(1) *If z^P is not in T's Taylor expansion at 0, then*

$$(S_P, T) = O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) \|T\|.$$

(2) *If T contains terms z^Q for $|Q| \geq |P| + \sigma$ in the Taylor expansion, then*

$$(S_P, T) = O\left(\frac{1}{m^{1+\frac{\sigma}{2}}}\right) \|T\|.$$

In this section, we give the precise bound in the above Ruan's lemma.

We say that a smooth function f on $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is regular, if in its Taylor expansion, there are no $z^P, \overline{z}^P z^P \overline{z}_j, \overline{z}^P z_j$ terms. We begin with the following

Lemma 4.2. *Let f be a holomorphic function on $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, let $a > 0$ be a positive smooth function and ξ a complex smooth function on U such that $\xi(0) = 1$. Assume that both a and ξ are regular functions. Define*

$$\|f\| = \sqrt{\int_{|z| \leq \frac{\log m}{\sqrt{m}}} |f|^2 a^m dV_0}.$$

Assume that $|P| \leq \varepsilon_0 (\log m)^2$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

(1) *If z^P is not in f's Taylor expansion at 0, then*

$$\left| \int_{|z| \leq \frac{\log m}{\sqrt{m}}} z^P \overline{f} a^m \xi dV_0 \right| \leq \frac{C\sqrt{P!}}{m^{1+\frac{n+|P|}{2}}} \|f\|.$$

(2) *If f only contains terms z^Q for $|Q| \geq |P| + \sigma$ and $\sigma > 0$ in the Taylor expansion, then*

$$\left| \int_{|z| \leq \frac{\log m}{\sqrt{m}}} z^P \overline{f} a^m \xi dV_0 \right| \leq \left(\frac{(\log m)^{10}}{m} \right)^{1+\frac{n+|P|+\sigma}{2}} \|f\| \tag{4.1}$$

for $m > m(\sigma)$, where $m(\sigma)$ is a constant depending on σ and the functions a, ξ.

(3) *Moreover, if the metrics are analytic, then we have*

$$\left| \int_{|z| \leq \frac{\log m}{\sqrt{m}}} z^P \overline{f} a^m \xi dV_0 \right| \leq C^\sigma \left(\frac{(\log m)^{10}}{m} \right)^{1+\frac{n+|P|+\sigma}{2}} \|f\| \tag{4.2}$$

for m large enough (but indepedent to σ).

Proof. We shall omit the proof of (1), since it is similar to that of (2).

Let $\log a + |z|^2 = \zeta$. Since a is a regular function, $\zeta = O(|z|^4)$. It follows that

$$|m\zeta| \leq \frac{1}{m^{3/4}} \tag{4.3}$$

for $|z| \leq \frac{\log m}{\sqrt{m}}$ and m is sufficiently large. As a result, the functions a^m and $e^{-m|z|^2}$ are mutually bounded by a constant independent to m. In particular,

$$||f|| \leq C \sqrt{\int_{|z| \leq \frac{\log m}{\sqrt{m}}} |f|^2 e^{-m|z|^2} dV_0}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. We shall use this fact below repeatedly without further notice.

Let $\zeta = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2$ such that ζ_1 is the Taylor's polynomial of ζ of order $2\sigma + 1$, and let $\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ such that ξ_1 is the Taylor's polynomial of ζ of order $2\sigma + 1$. Then for m large enough, we have

$$|e^{m\zeta}\xi - \sum_{k=0}^{2\sigma+1} \frac{m^k}{k!} \zeta_1^k \xi_1| \leq \frac{1}{m^{1+\frac{\sigma}{2}}} \tag{4.4}$$

for $|z| \leq \frac{\log m}{\sqrt{m}}$. Therefore using the Cauchy inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{|z|\leq\frac{\log m}{\sqrt{m}}} z^P\overline{f}e^{-m|z|^2}(e^{m\zeta}\xi-\sum_{k=0}^{2\sigma+1}\frac{m^k}{k!}\zeta_1^k\xi_1)dV_0\right|\\&\leq\frac{C\sqrt{P!}}{m^{1+\frac{n+|P|+\sigma}{2}}}\|f\|\leq\left(\frac{(\log m)^2}{m}\right)^{1+\frac{n+|P|+\sigma}{2}}\cdot||f||\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

by (3.18) and the fact that $|P| \leq \varepsilon_0(\log m)^2$.

Note that $\zeta_1^k\xi_1$ is a polynomial in z and $\overline{z}$. Let

$$\zeta_1^k\xi_1=\sum_{IJ}\zeta_{IJ}z^I\overline{z}^J.\tag{4.6}$$

The coefficients

$$|\zeta_{IJ}|=\frac{1}{I!J!}\left|\frac{\partial^{|I|+|J|}(\zeta_1^k\xi_1)}{\partial z^I\partial\overline{z}^J}\right|=\frac{1}{I!J!}\left|\frac{\partial^{|I|+|J|}(\zeta_1^k\xi}{\partial z^I\partial\overline{z}^J}(0)\right|\leq C(\sigma)\tag{4.7}$$

for $C(\sigma) > 0$ and for m large enough.

If $|I| - |J| < \sigma$, then by the assumption on f and by symmetry,

$$\int_{|z|\leq\frac{\log m}{\sqrt{m}}} z^P\overline{f}e^{-m|z|^2}m^k\zeta_{IJ}z^I\overline{z}^JdV_0=0.\tag{4.8}$$

On the other hand, under the K-coordinates and K-frames, in the expansion of ζ, there is no z^P or $z^P\overline{z_j}$ terms. Thus in (4.6), we must have $|J| \geq 2k$. If $|I| - |J| \geq \sigma$, since $|J| \geq 2$, we have

$$|I|+|J|-2k\geq 2+\sigma,$$

hence

$$\left|\sum_{|I|+|J|-2k\geq 2+\sigma}\zeta_{IJ}z^Iz^{\overline{J}}\right|\leq C(\sigma)|z|^{2k+2+\sigma}.\tag{4.9}$$

Using (4.7), (4.8), (3.18) and the above estimate, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{|z|\leq\frac{\log m}{\sqrt{m}}} z^P\overline{f}e^{-m|z|^2}m^k\zeta_1^k\xi_1dV_0\right|\\&\leq m^k||f||\cdot\sqrt{\int_{|z|\leq\frac{\log m}{\sqrt{m}}}|z^P|^2\left|\sum_{|I|+|J|-2k\geq 2+\sigma}\zeta_{IJ}z^Iz^{\overline{J}}\right|^2e^{-m|z|^2}dV_0}\\&\leq C(\sigma)(\log m)^{-1}\left(\frac{(\log m)^{10}}{m}\right)^{1+\frac{n+|P|+\sigma}{2}}\cdot||f||.\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above inequality and (4.4), (4.5), we proved that

$$\left|\int_{|z|\leq\frac{\log m}{\sqrt{m}}} z^P\overline{f}a^m\xi dV_0\right| \leq C(\sigma)(\log m)^{-1}\left(\frac{(\log m)^{10}}{m}\right)^{1+\frac{n+|P|+\sigma}{2}}\cdot\|f\|. \tag{4.10}$$

Since m is sufficiently large, the above inequality implies (4.1).

The proof of (3) is similar. We notice that in the analytic case, (4.4) becomes

$$|e^{m\zeta}\xi-\sum_{k=0}^{2\sigma+1}\frac{m^k}{k!}\zeta_1^k\xi_1|\leq\frac{C^\sigma}{m^{1+\frac{\sigma}{2}}}$$

for some constant $C>0$ and (4.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{|z|\leq\frac{\log m}{\sqrt{m}}} z^P\overline{f}e^{-m|z|^2}(e^{m\zeta}\xi-\sum_{k=0}^{2\sigma+1}\frac{m^k}{k!}\zeta_1^k\xi_1)dV_0\right|\\ &\leq C^{sigma}\left(\frac{(\log m)^2}{m}\right)^{1+\frac{n+|P|+\sigma}{2}}\cdot||f||\end{aligned}$$

for a possibly larger constant C.

In the analytic case, there is a $\delta>0$ such that

$$|\xi_{IJ}|\leq(\delta)^{-|I|+|J|}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\left|\sum_{|I|+|J|-2k\geq 2+\sigma}\zeta_{IJ}z^I z^{\overline{J}}\right|\leq C^\sigma|z|^{2k+2+\sigma}$$

for some constant C. (3) follows from the above analytic version of (4.4), (4.5), and (4.9). This completes the proof of the lemma.

□

By taking $\xi=\det g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}h_{Ej\overline{j}}$, we proved the following

Theorem 4.1. *Let S_P be the peak section and let $T\in V_{p'}$. Then we have*

(1)
$$|(S_P,T)|\leq\frac{C}{m^{3/2}}.$$

(2)
$$|(S_P,T)|\leq\frac{1}{m^{1+\frac{1}{2}(p'-|P|)}}$$

for $m>m(\sigma)$.

(3) *If the metrics are analytic, then*
$$|(S_P,T)|\leq C^\sigma\frac{1}{m^{1+\frac{1}{2}(p'-|P|)}}$$

for m large enough.

□

5. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

For a given integer $s > 0$, Let $\delta_{-1} = 0$ and

$$\delta_\alpha = r\binom{n-1+\alpha}{n-1}$$

for $\alpha = 0, \cdots, s$ and let[1]

$$\delta_{s+1} = r\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \delta_\alpha.$$

Then we have

$$k = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \delta_\alpha \le 2rs^n. \tag{5.1}$$

For each $0 \le \alpha \le s$, δ_α is the number of indices (P, j) such that $|P| = \alpha$ and $1 \le j \le r$.

Let $p' = s$, and let $\{S^{p'}_{P,j,m}\}_{|P|\le p', 1\le j\le r}$ be the peak sections at the given point x_0. Using the lexicographical order of the indices (P, j) defined in Page 5, we can rename these peak sections by $S_1, \cdots, S_k$, where k is defined in (5.1).

Let $S_{k+1}, \cdots, S_d$ be an orthonormal basis of the space

$$V_{s+1} = \{T \in H^0(M, L^m \otimes E) \mid T \text{ vanishes at } x_0 \text{ of order at least } s+1\},$$

such that $(S_i, S_j) = 0$ for $1 \le i \le k$ and $j > 2k$. Then $S_1, \cdots, S_d$ forms a basis of $H^0(M, L^m \otimes E)$. The metric matrix F is the $d \times d$ matrix define by (S_i, S_j) for $1 \le i, j \le d$.

By the definition of the basis, we can write F as

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} F_1 & \\ & I_{d-2k} \end{pmatrix},$$

where F_1 is a $(2k) \times (2k)$ matrix. In order to study the inverse matrix of F, we only need to study the inverse matrix of F_1.

We shall represent the matrix F_1 as a block matrix. For any $0 \le \alpha, \beta \le s+1$, define the matrix $A_{\alpha\beta}$ to be the $\delta_\alpha \times \delta_\beta$ matrix whose entries are[2]

$$\delta_{ij} - (S_i, S_j)$$

for

$$\sum_{\gamma=-1}^{\alpha-1} \delta_\gamma < i \le \sum_{\gamma=-1}^{\alpha} \delta_\gamma; \quad \sum_{\gamma=-1}^{\beta-1} \delta_\gamma < i \le \sum_{\gamma=-1}^{\beta} \delta_\gamma,$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol. Let A be the block matrix defined by

$$A = (A_{\alpha\beta})_{(1\le\alpha,\beta\le s+2)}.$$

---

[1] By math induction, $\delta_{s+1} = r\binom{n+s}{n}$.

[2] By this definition, $A_{s+1,s+1} = 0$.

Then we have

$$F_1 = I - A.$$

Define $||A||$ to be the maximum entries of the matrix A. Let column (A) be the number of the columns of the matrix A. Then we have

$$||AB|| \le \text{column}\,(A) \cdot ||A|| \cdot ||B||.$$

By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$||A_{\alpha,\beta}|| \le \frac{C(s)}{m^{1+\frac{|\alpha-\beta|}{2}}}.$$

We represent $F_1^{-1} = (C_{\alpha\beta})$ as a block matrix using the same partition as in the matrix A. Using the expansion

$$F^{-1} = I + A + A^2 + \cdots,$$

for any fixed (α_0, β_0), we have

$$C_{\alpha\beta} - I = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_{k-1}} A_{\alpha_0 i_1} A_{i_1 i_2} \cdots A_{i_{k-1}\beta_0}.$$

By (5.1), column $(A_{\alpha\beta}) \le 2rs^n$. Therefore we have

$$\left|\left| \sum_{k=s+1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_{k-1}} A_{\alpha_0 i_1} A_{i_1 i_2} \cdots A_{i_{k-1}\beta_0} \right|\right| \le \frac{C(s)}{m^{s+1}}.$$

Similarly, we consider the terms

$$\sum_{k=1}^{s} \sum_{\text{some } i_j = s+1} A_{\alpha_0 i_1} A_{i_1 i_2} \cdots A_{i_{k-1}\beta_0}.$$

If some $i_j = s+1$, we must have

$$|\alpha_0 - i_1| + |i_1 - i_2| + \cdots + |i_{k-1} - \beta_0| \ge 2s + 2 - \alpha_0 - \beta_0.$$

Thus we have

$$\left|\left| \sum_{k=1}^{s} \sum_{\text{some } i_j = s+1} A_{\alpha_0 i_1} A_{i_1 i_2} \cdots A_{i_{k-1}\beta_0} \right|\right| \le \frac{C(s)}{m^{s+1-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_0+\beta_0)}}.$$

Using the above argument, we have

Lemma 5.1. *For any $s < \varepsilon_0 \log m$, there is an C^0 asymptotic expansion of order s*

$$\int_{|z| < \frac{\log m}{\sqrt{m}}} z^P \overline{z}^Q a^m h_{Ei\bar{j}} dV_g \sim \frac{1}{m^{n+\frac{|P|+Q|}{2}}} \left(a_{PQ,ij}^{(0)} + \frac{a_{PQ,ij}^{(1)}}{m} + \cdots + \frac{a_{PQ,ij}^{(s)}}{m^s} \right)$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The theorem follows from Theorem 4.1 and the above lemma.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since the Tian-Yau-Zelditch expansion is convergent, by taking $s = [\varepsilon_0 \log m] - 1$ in the above lemma, we get the result.

□

Appendix A. K-coordinates and K-frames

Let μ be a nonnegative integer. It is well-known that other than $\mu = 0$, the C^μ-norm on the space of smooth functions depends on the choice of local coordinate systems. For two different atlases of the manifold, the two different C^μ-norms are equivalent (mutually bounded). Therefore the underlying topology is intrinsically defined by these norms.

In the proof of the main results of this paper, we need to treat *uncountably many* local coordinate systems. Therefore, it is necessary to look into the details of the definition of C^μ-norms.

Let P be a multiple index: $P = (p_1, \cdots, p_n)$, where $p_1, \cdots, p_n$ are nonnegative numbers. Let $|P| = p_1 + \cdots + p_n$, and let $P! = p_1! \cdots p_n!$. Define

$$z^P = z_1^{p_1} \cdots z_n^{p_n}.$$

Let f be a smooth function on an open set U of M with holomorphic local coordinates $z = (z_1, \cdots, z_n)$. Define

$$|D^k f| = \sum_{|P|+|Q|=k} \frac{k!}{P!Q!} |D^{P,Q} f|$$

for $k \geq 0$, where

$$P = (p_1, \cdots, p_n), \qquad Q = (q_1, \cdots, q_n),$$

and D is the differential operator

$$D^{P,Q} f = \frac{\partial^{|P|+|Q|} f}{\partial z_1^{p_1} \cdots \partial z_n^{p_n} \partial \overline{z}_1^{q_1} \cdots \partial \overline{z}_n^{q_n}}.$$

$|D^k f|$ defines a nonnegative function on U. The C^μ-norm of f on U is defined by

$$||f||_{C^\mu} = \max_{k \leq \mu} \sup_{x \in U} |D^k f|(x).$$

The definition of $|D^k f|$ depends on the local coordinates. We use the notation $D^k f|_z$ to denote such a dependence. Let $w = (w_1, \cdots, w_n)$ be another local coordinates on U, then there is a constant $C > 1$, depending on z, w, such that

$$C^{-1} |D^k f|_z \leq |D^k f|_w \leq C |D^k f|_z.$$

Let M be an n-dimensional algebraic manifold with a positive Hermitian line bundle $(L, h_L) \to M$. Let (E, h_E) be a Hermitian vector bundle of rank r over M. Suppose that the Kähler form ω_g of the Kähler metric g is defined by the curvature $\mathrm{Ric}(h_L)$ of h_L. That is, under local coordinates $(z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ at a fixed point x_0, we have

$$\omega_g = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_\alpha \partial \overline{z}_\beta}\log a\, dz_\alpha \wedge d\overline{z}_\beta = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n} g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} dz_\alpha \wedge d\overline{z}_\beta,$$

where a is the local representation of the Hermitian metric h_L.

Definition A.1. *Let $p > 0$ be any positive integer. Let $x_0 \in M$ be a point. Let $(z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ be a holomorphic coordinate system centered at x_0. Let $(g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}})$ be the Kähler metric matrix. If it satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} &g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}(x_0) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\ &\frac{\partial^{p_1+\cdots+p_n} g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}}{\partial z_1^{p_1}\cdots\partial z_n^{p_n}}(x_0) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

for $\alpha, \beta = 1, \cdots, n$ and any nonnegative integers $(p_1, \cdots, p_n)$ with $p > p_1 + \cdots + p_n \neq 0$. Then we call the coordinate system a K-coordinate system of order p.

The existence of K-coordinate system was known to the string theorists in the nineteen eighties. However, the result had been known to Bochner long ago. We refer to [2] for the proof of the existence of K-coordinates.

If the metric is analytic, then we can take p to be $+\infty$. In this case, the K-coordinate system is unique up to an affine transformation.

Similar to the above, we make the following definition

Definition A.2. *Let e_L be a local holomorphic frame of L at x_0. If for $p > 0$, the local representation function a of the Hermitian metric h_L satisfies*

$$a(x_0) = 1, \frac{\partial^{p_1+\cdots+p_n} a}{\partial z_1^{p_1}\cdots\partial z_n^{p_n}}(x_0) = 0 \tag{A.2}$$

for any nonnegative integers $(p_1, \cdots, p_n)$ with $p > p_1 + \cdots + p_n \neq 0$. Then we call e_L is a K-frame of order p. If a is analytic, then again we can take $p = +\infty$.

The following lemma is similar to the results above. We sketch the proof here.

Lemma A.1. *For any $p > 0$, it is possible to choose a local holomorphic frame $\{e_1, \cdots, e_r\}$ on U such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &h_{i\overline{j}}(x_0) = \delta_{i\overline{j}}; \\ &\frac{\partial^{p_1+\cdots+p_n} h_{i\overline{j}}}{\partial z_1^{p_1}\cdots\partial z_n^{p_n}}(x_0) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

for any nonnegative integers $(p_1, \cdots, p_n)$ *with* $p > p_1 + \cdots + p_n \neq 0$*, where* $h_{i\bar{j}} = \langle e_i, e_j \rangle$*. If the metric is analytic, then we can take* $p = +\infty$*. Moreover, any derivative of* $h_{i\bar{j}}$ *at* x_0 *can be represented as a polynomial of curvatures of both* E *and* M *and their derivatives.*

Proof. We first choose a holomorphic frame $\{e_1, \cdots, e_r\}$ such that $h_{i\bar{j}}(x_0) = \delta_{i\bar{j}}$. Let the matrix $H = (h_{i\bar{j}})$. Let the Taylor expansion of H be

$$H \sim I + A + \overline{A} + B,$$

where I is the identity matrix; A is the holomorphic part of the Taylor expansion; $\overline{A}$ is the complex conjugate of A; and B is the mixed part, that is, the entries of B composed of both z's and $\overline{z}$'s. [3]

Since $\overline{H}^T = H$, we must have $A = A^T$. Let $p > 2$ be an integer. Let A_p be the first p terms in the formal series A. Define a new frame $\{f_1, \cdots, f_r\}$ such that

$$e_i = f_i + (A_p)_{ij} f_j.$$

It is not hard to see that under the new frame, the metric matrix is $\tilde{H} = (I + A_p)^{-1} H (I + \overline{A}_p)^{-1}$. A straightforward computation shows that in the Taylor expansion of $\tilde{H}$, there are no holomorphic or anti-holomorphic parts up to order p.

Finally, we have the formula

$$\frac{\partial^2 h_{i\bar{j}}}{\partial z_\alpha \partial \overline{z}_\beta} = (\Theta_E)_{i\bar{j}\alpha\bar{\beta}} + h^{k\bar{l}} \frac{\partial h_{i\bar{l}}}{\partial z_\alpha} \cdot \frac{\partial h_{k\bar{j}}}{\partial \overline{z}_\beta}.$$

By the above equation and by induction, all derivatives of $(h_{i\bar{j}})$ at x_0 can be expressed as polynomials of Θ_E, the curvature of M, and their covariant derivatives.

□

Because of the above lemma, we will call the frames e_L and $\{e_j\}$ K-frames, that is, the local holomorphic coordinates, the local frames of L and E will satisfy (A.1), (A.2), and (A.3).

As we have discussed before, K-coordinates and K-frames are not unique. However, in what follows, we will write out explicitly a smooth family of K-frames and K-coordinates near any given point.

Let

$$a(z) = \langle e_L, e_L \rangle, \qquad H(z) = (h_{i\bar{j}}), \qquad G(z) = (g_{i\bar{j}}).$$

Let P be the multiple index: $P = (p_1, \cdots, p_n)$. We define $|P| = \sum p_i$; $P! = p_1! \cdots p_n!$; $z^P = z_1^{p_1} \cdots z_n^{p_n}$; and

$$f^{(P)}(z) = \frac{\partial^P f}{\partial z^P}(z)$$

---

[3] If H is analytic, the Taylor expansion must be convergent. If H is smooth, the expansion is understood as *formal*: it doesn't have to be convergent, and even if it does, it doesn't have to be convergent to the matrix-valued function H.

for a smooth function f. Using these notations, (A.1), (A.2), and (A.3) can be written as

$$g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}(x_0) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, a(x_0) = 1, h_{i\overline{j}}(x_0) = \delta_{ij},$$

and

$$(g)^{(P)}_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}(x_0) = 0, a^{(P)}(x_0) = 0, (h_{i\overline{j}})^{(P)}(x_0) = 0$$

for $0 < |P| < p$.

For any $p > 2$ and any $t \in U$ with $|t|$ very small, we define

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij}(t) &= \left.\frac{\partial^2 \log a(z)}{\partial z_i \partial \overline{z}_j}\right|_{z=t}, \\ b_1^p(z,t) &= \sum_{1\leq|P|\neq 0} \frac{(\log a)^{(P)}(t)}{P!}(z-t)^P, \\ b_2^p(z,t) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{1<|P|\leq p} \frac{1}{P!}\frac{\overline{\partial}(\log a)^{(P)}(t)}{\partial \overline{t}_j}(z-t)^P(\overline{z_j - t_j}). \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} &\log a(z) = \log a(t) + a_{ij}(t)(z_i - t_i)(\overline{z_j - t_j}) \\ &+ b_1^p(z,t) + \overline{b_1^p(z,t)} + b_2^p(z,t) + \overline{b_2^p(z,t)} + c^p(z,t). \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

By the definition of c^p, we have

$$\left.\frac{\partial^P c^p}{\partial z^P}\right|_{z=t} = 0, \quad \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_j}\frac{\partial^P c^p}{\partial z^P}\right|_{z=t} = 0$$

for $|P| \leq p$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let $G^p(z,t), R^p(z,t)$ be the matrix-valued functions such that

$$G^p(z,t)_{ij} = -\frac{\partial^2 b_2^p(z,t)}{\partial z_i \partial \overline{z}_j}, \quad R^p(z,t)_{ij} = -\frac{\partial^2 c^p(z,t)}{\partial z_i \partial \overline{z}_j}.$$

Then we have

$$G(z) = G(t) + G^p(z,t) + \overline{G^p(z,t)}^T + R^p(z,t) \tag{A.6}$$

with

$$\left.\frac{\partial^P R^p}{\partial z^P}\right|_{z=t} = 0 \tag{A.7}$$

for $0 \leq |P| \leq p-1$.

Let $D(t)$ be a smooth Hermitian matrix-valued function such that $D(0) = I$ and $D(t)^2 = G(t)$. Such a function exists. For example, if $\sum a_j x^j$ is the Taylor expansion of the function $\sqrt{1+x}$, then we can define $D(t) = \sum a_j (G(t) - I)^j$.

We use the following matrix notations: $z' = (z_1', \cdots, z_n')$, $z = (z_1, \cdots, z_n)$, $t = (t_1, \cdots, t_n)$ and

$$\frac{\partial b_2^p}{\partial \overline{z}} = (\frac{\partial b_2^p}{\partial \overline{z}_1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial b_2^p}{\partial \overline{z}_n})$$

We define

$$z' = zD(t) - tD(t) - \frac{\partial b_2^p(z,t)}{\partial \overline{z}} D(t)^{-1}. \tag{A.8}$$

Then z' is a holomorphic coordinate system centered at t. Differentiating the above, we have

$$dz' = dz(D(t) + G^p(z,t)D(t)^{-1}).$$

Under the new coordinates, the metric-matrix is

$$P(z,t)^{-1}G(z)(P(z,t)^{-1})^*,$$

where $P(z,t) = D(t) + G^p(z,t)D(t)^{-1}$. By (A.6), the above matrix is equal to

$$I + P(z,t)^{-1}(R^p(z,t) - G^p(z,t)G^{-1}(t)\overline{G^p(z,t)}^T)(\overline{P(z,t)}^{-1})^T.$$

If $z = t$, then the above matrix is the identity matrix. Moreover, since $P(z,t)$ is holomorphic with respect to z and $G^p(t,t) = 0$, from (A.7), we have

$$\left.\frac{\partial^P}{\partial z^P}\right|_{z=t} P(z,t)^{-1}(R^p(z,t) - G^p(z,t)G^{-1}(t)\overline{G^p(z,t)})(\overline{P(z,t)}^{-1})^T = 0$$

for any $|P| < p-1$. By the chain rule, we conclude that z' is a K-coordinate system for any t.

Using the similar way, we can construct K-frames as well.

We let

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^p(z,t) &= \sum_{|P|\neq 0}^{|P|\leq p} \frac{a^{(P)}(t)}{P!}(z-t)^P; \\ B^p(z,t) &= \sum_{|P|\neq 0}^{|P|\leq p} \frac{H^{(P)}(t)}{P!}(z-t)^P, \end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

and we write

$$\begin{aligned} a(z) &= a(t) + \xi^p(z,t) + \overline{\xi^p(z,t)} + \eta^p(z,t); \\ H(z) &= H(t) + B^p(z,t) + \overline{B^p(z,t)^T} + C^p(z,t) \end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

As before, we let $K(t)$ be a smooth Hermitian matrix-valued function such that $K(t)^2 = H(t)$. We can rewrite

$$a(z) = \left(\sqrt{a(t)} + \frac{\xi^p(z,t)}{\sqrt{a(t)}}\right)\left(\sqrt{a(t)} + \frac{\overline{\xi^p(z,t)}}{\sqrt{a(t)}}\right) + \tilde{\eta}^p(z,t); \tag{A.11}$$

$$H(z) = (K(t) + B^p(z,t)K(t)^{-1})(K(t) + B^p(z,t)K(t)^{-1})^* + \tilde{C}^p(z,t), \tag{A.12}$$

where

$$\tilde{\eta}^p(z,t) = \eta^p(z,t) - \frac{|\xi^p(z,t)|^2}{a(t)},$$
$$\tilde{C}^p(z,t) = C^p(z,t) - B^p(z,t)H^{-1}(t)\overline{B^p(z,t)}^T$$

As above, we know that

$$\left.\frac{\partial^P}{\partial z^P}\right|_{z=t} \tilde{\eta}^p(z,t) = 0 \tag{A.13}$$
$$\left.\frac{\partial^P}{\partial z^P}\right|_{z=t} \tilde{C}^p(z,t) = 0 \tag{A.14}$$

for $|P| < p$.

Define

$$e_L(t) = \left(\sqrt{a(t)} + \frac{\xi^p(z,t)}{\sqrt{a(t)}}\right)^{-1} e_L; \tag{A.15}$$
$$e(t) = e(\big(K(t) + B^p(z,t)K(t)^{-1}\big)^{-1})^T, \tag{A.16}$$

where $e = (e_1, \cdots, e_r)$ and $e(t) = (e_1(t), \cdots, e_r(t))$.

Then by (A.11), we have

$$\langle e_L(t), e_L(t)\rangle = 1 + \tilde{\eta}^p(z,t)\left|\sqrt{a(t)} + \frac{\xi^p(z,t)}{\sqrt{a(t)}}\right|^{-2}.$$

By (A.13), we know that in the Taylor expansion of $\langle e_L(t), e_L(t)\rangle$ at t, there are no holomorphic part up to the order p. This proves that $e_L(t)$ is a K-frame for any t. Similarly, let

$$Q(z,t) = K(t) + B^p(z,t)K(t)^{-1}.$$

Then by (A.12), we have

$$e(t)^T\overline{e(t)} = I + Q(z,t)^{-1}\tilde{C}^p(z,t)(\overline{Q(z,t)}^{-1})^T.$$

By (A.14), $e(t)$ is a K-frame for any t.

In summary, we prove

Lemma A.2 (The first stability lemma)**.** *For* $|t|$ *small, then* (A.8), (A.15), *and* (A.16) *define a smooth family of* K*-frames and* K*-coordinates. Moreover, for any* t*, the* K*-frames and* K*-coordinates are defined on the set*

$$|z'| < \delta/3,$$

where δ *is the injectivity radius of* M *and* $(z_1', \cdots, z_n')$ *are the* K*-coordinate system at* t*.*

□

Corollary A.1. *Let f be a smooth function on M. Assume that at any $x_0 \in M$ and for any K-coordinates $(z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ at x_0,*

$$\sup_{x_0, k \le \mu} |D^k f|(x_0) \le 1.$$

Then there is a constant $C(\mu)$, depending on μ, such that

$$||f||_{C^\mu} \le C(\mu).$$

□

By the above lemma and the continuity, the following must be true: for any $+\infty \ge p > 0$ and $x \in M$, there exists a $\rho = \rho_{x,p} > 0$ such that

(1) for each $|t| < \rho$, the smooth K-frames and K coordinates exist and are at least of size ρ. That is, $\{|z'| < \rho\}$ is contained in the K-coordinate system;

(2) Define

$$B_{1,k}(t) = \sum_{\ell \le k} \frac{1}{\ell!} ||D^\ell \log a(t)|| (\delta/3)^\ell$$

$$B_{2,k}(t) = \max_{i,j} \sum_{\ell \le k} \frac{1}{\ell!} ||D^\ell h_{Ei\bar{j}}(t)|| (\delta/3)^\ell$$

$$A_k(t) = \sum_{k_1 + k_2 = k} B_{1,k_1}(t) \cdot B_{2,k_2}(t),$$

where $a(t)$ and $h_{Ei\bar{j}}(t)$ are the metric representation under the K-frames and K-coordinates. Then $A_k(t) \le 2A_k(0)$ for any $p > k \ge 0$.

(3) Let $U_t = \{|z'| < \rho\}$ be the K-coordinate neighborhood. Then on U_t we have

$$\log a(t) > \frac{1}{2}, \qquad g_{ij}(t) > \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}, \qquad h_{i\bar{j}} \ge \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}. \tag{A.17}$$

Since M is compact, finite many of the above neighborhoods will cover M. Therefore, we are above to define the norms A_k as in the last section.

We have the following

Lemma A.3 (The second stability lemma). *For any $+\infty \ge p > 0$ and for any point $x \in M$, there exists K-frames and K-coordinates such that*

(1) *$A_k(t) \le 2A_k$, where*

(2) *On U_t,* (A.17) *is valid.*

Proof. The lemma essentially follows from continuity and compactness.

□

References

[1] Robert Berman, Bo Berndtsson, and Johannes Sjöstrand, *A direct approach to Bergman kernel asymptotics for positive line bundles*, Ark. Mat. **46** (2008), no. 2, 197–217, DOI 10.1007/s11512-008-0077-x. MR2430724 (2009k:58050)

[2] S. Bochner, *Curvature in Hermitian metric*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **53** (1947), 179–195. MR0019983 (8,490d)

[3] L. Boutet de Monvel and J. Sjöstrand, *Sur la singularité des noyaux de Bergman et de Szegő*, Journées: Équations aux Dérivées Partielles de Rennes (1975), Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1976, pp. 123–164. Astérisque, No. 34-35 (French). MR0590106 (58 #28684)

[4] David Catlin, *The Bergman kernel and a theorem of Tian*, Analysis and geometry in several complex variables (Katata, 1997), Trends Math., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1999, pp. 1–23. MR1699887 (2000e:32001)

[5] Xianzhe Dai, Kefeng Liu, and Xiaonan Ma, *On the asymptotic expansion of Bergman kernel*, J. Differential Geom. **72** (2006), no. 1, 1–41. MR2215454 (2007k:58043)

[6] Jean-Pierre Demailly, *Holomorphic Morse inequalities*, Several complex variables and complex geometry, Part 2 (Santa Cruz, CA, 1989), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 52, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991, pp. 93–114. MR1128538 (93b:32048)

[7] S. K. Donaldson, *Scalar curvature and projective embeddings. I*, J. Differential Geom. **59** (2001), no. 3, 479–522. MR1916953 (2003j:32030)

[8] ———, *Stability, birational transformations and the Kähler-Einstein problem.* ArXiv: 1007.4220.

[9] Charles Fefferman, *Parabolic invariant theory in complex analysis*, Adv. in Math. **31** (1979), no. 2, 131–262, DOI 10.1016/0001-8708(79)90025-2. MR526424 (80j:32035)

[10] Chiung-ju Liu, *The asymptotic Tian-Yau-Zelditch expansion on Riemann surfaces with Constant Curvature*, 2007. to appear in Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics.

[11] Zhiqin Lu, *On the lower order terms of the asymptotic expansion of Tian-Yau-Zelditch*, Amer. J. Math. **122** (2000), no. 2, 235–273. MR1749048 (2002d:32034)

[12] Zhiqin Lu and Gang Tian, *The log term of the Szegő kernel*, Duke Math. J. **125** (2004), no. 2, 351–387, DOI 10.1215/S0012-7094-04-12526-6. MR2096677 (2006e:32026)

[13] Xiaonan Ma and George Marinescu, *Generalized Bergman kernels on symplectic manifolds*, Adv. Math. **217** (2008), no. 4, 1756–1815, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2007.10.008. MR2382740 (2009c:58047)

[14] ———, *Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 254, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007. MR2339952 (2008g:32030)

[15] Wei-Dong Ruan, *Canonical coordinates and Bergmann [Bergman] metrics*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **6** (1998), no. 3, 589–631. MR1638878 (2000a:32050)

[16] Gang Tian, *On a set of polarized Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **32** (1990), no. 1, 99–130. MR1064867 (91j:32031)

[17] Steve Zelditch, *Szegő kernels and a theorem of Tian*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **6** (1998), 317–331, DOI 10.1155/S107379289800021X. MR1616718 (99g:32055)

E-mail address, Zhiqin Lu, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697: `zlu@uci.edu`

E-mail address, Chiung-ju Liu, Taida Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Taipei, Taiwan 10617: `cjliu4@ntu.edu.tw`